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मनीशापश्रक सार्थम्

अनुष्ठूप छन्दः -
सत्याचार्यस्य गमने कदा चिन्मुक्ति दायकम् ।
काशीकोन्त्र प्रति सह गौरी मार्गे तु दशकरम् ॥
अन्त्येष्वपरं हन्दा गच्छ गन्धेष्टि चाबवीत् ।
शाङ्करः सोपपि चाण्डलस्तं पुनः प्राह दशकरम् ॥
आयार्थ बृहि -
अन्तमयाद्वित्यमथवा चेतनयेव चेतनयात् ।
यतिवर दूरीकर्तु वाच्छसि कि ब्रह्म गच्छ गन्धेष्टि ।
शांबूर्ति विकीर्दित छन्दः -
प्रत्येकस्तुति निस्त्रजसहजानन्दायश्वोधामुखः
विप्रोदन्त्र यथपशोऽयिनत्यपि महान्कोशयं विभेदकरमः ।
कि गणकमुखि विभिन्ते स्मरणरूपो चाण्डलवीकीपायः
पूरे वार्तन्तरस्ति काशनज्ञानमूलकख्योवर्षांम्वे ॥
जाग्रत्वसम्पुपुसिद्ध स्फूटतरा या संविदुज्ञम्बेते
या ब्रह्मदिपिपीलितकान्ततनुषु प्रोता जगत्साक्ष्णि ।
सैवां न च दस्यवस्तिति दशप्रमापि यस्यास्ति चे-चाण्डलोदस्तु स तु दिश्तोदस्तु गुरुस्येषा मनीषा मम ॥ १॥
ब्रह्मवाहिमदं जगच सकलं चिन्मात्रविस्तारं
सर्वं चैतन्यविद्या विगुणयास्तोषं मया कल्ययम् ।
इत्यं यथस्तो हन्दा मा। सुखतः सुखते नियते परे निम्मते
चाण्डलोदस्तु स तु दिश्तोदस्तु गुरुस्येषा मनीषा मम ॥ २॥
शाश्वस्त्ररमेव विद्वानविले निन्दित्य वाचा गुरौः
नित्यम् सह निर्जनं विन्दुशान नियर्जशंकन्तात्मना ।
मनीषापन्चकं सार्थम्

भूतं भावं च दुष्कृतं प्रदहता संविन्मये पावके प्रार्थण समार्पित स्ववर्णितवेषा मनीषा मम ॥ ३ ॥

या तिर्यकं देवताभिषेकमित्यतं: स्फूटा गृह्यते यज्ञसा हृदयाक्षेत्रविषया माति स्वतोऽचेतना: ॥

तां भास्मं: पिष्ठाकर्मणं धलिनिमं स्फूर्तिं सदा भावय-न्योगिः निर्वृत्तमानसो हि गुफरित्वेषा मनीषा मम ॥ ४ ॥

यत्सोब्यामं भिषेकलेखेण इत्येक्षा निर्ष्टं यथच्च नितरं प्रशान्तकलने तन्ब्रह्म मुनिनिर्ष्ट्रं: ॥

यथिसिद्ध्विलासं गंधितरीव्रक्षे न व्रहाविद् य: कथितं सुरेण्द्रवनितपदं नूं मनीषा मम ॥ ५ ॥

दासस्तेठ्ठं देह महासिमं शंभो जातस्तेठ्ठो जीव महा त्रिपदे ।
सर्वस्यास्तमात्रात्महा त्वमेव-त्येव मे धीरनिधाता सर्वशास्त्रः: ॥

॥ इति श्रीमच्छुद्रभगवतः कृतो मनीषापन्चकं सम्पूर्णम् ॥

Introduction

ManIShA panchakam was written by Jagadguru Adi Shankaracharya. A biography of Shankara and his other compositions of vedic literature can be found in the shankara.itx document. Shri Shankara has been criticized by modern western scholars for propounding sectarian beliefs in his commentary (bhashya) of Brahma sutra where he restricts the recitation of Vedas to the upper castes only. However, one should note that one is handicapped when writing a commentary on a text. Thus in independent compositions like the upadesasahasri and this short text, manIShA panchakam he expounds his Advaita philosophy in all its glory. Advaita, the non-dualistic philosophy expounded in detail by Shri Shankara, does not recognize differences between people based on caste, creed, religion, gender etc since we are all the manifestations of the same Brahman. The scene is set in Varanasi (Kashi/Benaras), the ancient sacred city of India, and the home to the famous kashi visvanatha temple. Adi shankarAchArya, the expounder of the advaitic, non-
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dualistic philosophy, was on the way to the temple after finishing his bath. Suddenly he saw a chandAla (an outcaste), on the way, and beckons to him to keep a distance, as per the practice and custom in those days. That outcaste is none other than the Lord sha.nkara (Shiva) Himself! At such beckoning, the Lord addresses his devotee sha.nkarAchArya, in the first two stanzas (the prologue), as under:

मनीषापञ्चकं सार्थम्

अनुषुप्य छन्द -
सत्यचार्यस्य गमने कदाचिन्मुक्ति दायकम्।
कारीकोट्रं प्रति सह गीयो मार्गे तु श्रद्धरम्॥

अन्त्व्येषपरं हस्ता गच्छ गच्छेति चार्यवीत।
शार्कर: सोडपि चाणदलस्तं पुनः प्राह श्रद्धरम्॥

आर्य वृतत -
अन्त्मयाद्वर्ममधवा चैतन्यमेव चैतन्यात्।
यतिवर दूरीकर्तुं वाज्ञ्यसि कि मूृहि गच्छ गच्छेति ॥

O great ascetic! Tell me. Do you want me to keep a distance from you, by uttering 'go away' ‘go away’ taking me to be an outcaste? Is it addressed from one body made of food to another body made of food, or is it consciousness from consciousness — which, O, the best among ascetics, you wish should go away, by saying “Go away, go away”? Do tell me.

शार्करुल विकीर्तित छन्द -
प्रत्यगवस्तुनि निस्तर्जस्थहजानन्दावधोवाल्मिकुयोः
विग्राहयें श्रयचोद्ववाल्मिकूयै महानन्दावधौं विभेदश्रमः।
कि गजाल्मुनि वििवितेक्षम्यशम्यां चाणदलथीशीयोः
पूर्वो वातन्तरमसिस काथनंतिमृतकुम्भमयोवार्षेम्यें ॥

Answer me. While the supreme Being is reflected in every object as the sun’s reflection could be seen in the placid waveless water bodies why this doubting confusion and differentiation i.e. whether one is a brahmin or an outcaste? who is the superior one etc. Is there any
difference in the reflection of the sun in the waters of the Ganges or in the water present in the street of an outcaste?

Likewise, is there any difference when the water- containers happen to be golden vessels and earthen pots ? (Immediately shankarAcharya realises the presence of the Lord Shankara before him (who has apparently shown Himself with a view to removing the last vestige of imperfection in His devotee) and reels off the following 5 stanzas-constituting 'manIShApachakam'-ending with a further stanza in the form of an epilogue).

If one is convinced firmly, that he is that very Soul which manifests itself in all the conditions of sleep, wakefulness and dream, in all the objects from the great Brahma (the creator) to the tiny ant and which is also the vibrant, but invisible, witnesser of all, then as per my clear conclusion, he is the great teacher/preceptor, be he a twice-born (i.e higher castes) or an outcaste.

I am quite convinced that he is the great Master, be he a Brahmin or an outcaste, who, dwelling on the pure and infinite Brahman thinks of himself as that very Brahman, of whose manifestation the whole Universe is, though apparently the Universe is assumed to consist of different things, due to ignorance and the three Gunas (Satva, Rajas and Tamas).

I am fully convinced by the Preceptor’s words that the entire Universe is a transitory illusion and that the human body is given to constantly meditate on the infinite and supreme Being.
with a serene and unquestioning mind and thus to burn in that sacred Fire the sins with which the human is born.

In my considered opinion that Yogi is great who has clearly grasped within himself the truth and quality of the supreme Being through which all our activities are performed and whose effulgence is hidden by ignorance [of an ordinary person] even as the sun’s halo is covered/hidden by the clouds.

I am convinced that whoever has his mind dwelling upon the Great Being who is being worshipped by Indra and other gods and is thus completely at peace with himself has not only understood Brahman but he is himself that great Brahman!

Oh Lord! In the form of body I am your servant. In the form of life, O three-eyed one, I am part of yourself. In the form of soul, you are within me and in every other soul. I have arrived at this conclusion through my intellect and on the authority of the various scriptures.

Thus ends the 'manIShApA nchakam' composed by the AdishankarAcharya.
Shri Kanchi MAhaswami Discourse

(English translation of discourse by P N Ramachandran
pnramchandran at gmail.com)

The Mahaswami (late Shri Chandrashekharendra Sarasvati Swami) is considered a saint of recent times. His discourses on various topics while on a visit to Madras in 1930’s are indeed the benchmark.)

The Harijan asked:
अन्नमयादेशस्मिष्ठमस्मेव चैत्येव चैत्यात ।
Which should get away from which, whereto and how? What is your intention? Is it that one frame made of bone and flesh and itself a product of food, should get away from another frame of same composition and same origin? Or, that the soul (atma) resident in a frame should not come near another soul (atma) similarly resident in another frame? Oh! The best among Brahmmins, please answer me, what is that which should get away?.

प्रत्यवयस्तुनि

The sun’s light falls both on the waters of the Ganges as well as of those of drains. The sun’s image gets reflected from both these surfaces. Is there any difference between the two images? Similarly, is there any difference in the images of the sun reflected in the water contained in a vessel whether the latter is made of gold or of mud?

There is a permanent reality (paramatma) in our bodies. Paramatma, which is all perfect has the innate attributes of both bliss and knowledge. There are no differences in it. It is omniscient like the ocean. Even this comparison is not fully correct. In the ocean there are many waves. But in the paramatma there are no waves. The physical ocean has atmosphere standing upon it. This causes the waves in the ocean. But paramatma exists everywhere. There is no place without it. In view of its omnipresence there are no waves in it. It is both unexcellable bliss and limitless knowledge. In the paramatma which is of such nature, how can there be differences?.

The question being in the nature of highest knowledge, evoked reply in the same vein. Adi Sankara said, .If you are such a knower of Brahman (supreme reality), then you are indeed my Guru (revered teacher). Adi Sankara’s reply is in five slokas known as Maneesha
Panchakam. Maneesha means firm conviction and Panchakam means five-fold. Adi Sankara sets out these as his firm convictions.

Adi Sankara replied:

(Maneesha Panchakam . verse 1)

If this type of firm self-knowledge is found in any one. the knowledge that from the great Brahma (the creator) to the tiny ant, in all animate and inanimate things there is the supreme Brahman that is I.; that the things which knowledge perceives as I. are not I.; then whether he be a Harijan or a Brahmin, that one alone is my Guru. This is my deep conviction and faith. How are we to look at all living things in the world as identical with ourselves? This self-knowledge is indeed hard to attain. There are three states of experience: waking (jAgrat), dream (swapna) and deep sleep (suShupti). Although the states differ and the mental attitudes during these states differ, the person who experiences these is one and the same. Similarly we should be firmly convinced that one and the same I. prevails in various things and in various places in the three states of experience. Although we perform or experience in different states acts and mental attitudes distinct from one another and although these acts and attitudes seem to be the work of distinct bodies and minds, we know that it is one and the same person that performs these acts or experiences these attitudes. Likewise the acts and mental attitudes, seemingly of other persons, are in reality our own. Bodies alone are separate but the inner self (the I.) is the same. We should thus look at the entire world in this unitary view and not be misled by apparent differences.

We see an article. The act of seeing has both an object (the particular article seen) as well as the subject (one who does the act of seeing). These two states (object and subject) are separate and distinct from one another. The body is seen. so it is the object. Atma (soul) sees . so it is the subject. This analogy can be extended to other acts such as perception or consciousness. The body is perceived . so it is the object. Atma (soul) does the act of perceiving . so it is the subject. Thus the body and the soul are separate from one another. He who considers the soul as that which is seen (that is, confuses the object for the subject) can only be termed as an aggyani (ignorant of reality/truth).

(Maneesha Panchakam . verse 5)